

THERMAL OXIDATION OF COMPOSITE FUEL PELLETS WITH CARDBOARD AND LIGNITE ADDITIVES

V. V. Dorokhov, K. Yu. Verzhinina, O. V. Vysokomornaya, G. S. Nyashina, K. K. Paushkina, and D. K. Shvedov

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin Ave., Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation

Abstract: The article presents the results of an experimental study of thermal oxidation characteristics of composite fuel pellets based on sawdust, cardboard, and lignite. The thermal oxidation characteristics were determined using a METTLER-TOLEDO TGA/DSC 3+ thermogravimetric analyzer. It was found that an increase in the proportion of cardboard in the pellet composition led to an increase in the maximum rate of weight loss of the studied samples. It was shown that the use of lignite and cardboard additives leads to a decrease in the activation energy of thermal oxidation of composite fuel pellets. Compared to pellets without additives, the use of cardboard in the composition of pelletized fuels leads to a decrease in the average activation energy by up to 36% with the addition of cardboard and 74% with the addition of lignite.

Keywords: pelletized fuels; activation energy; heating rate; thermogravimetry

DOI: 10.30826/CE25180309

EDN: DUJEMI

Figure Captions

Figure 1 Thermogravimetric (left column) and differential thermogravimetric (right column) curves of the studied fuel pellets: 1 – sawdust 100%; 2 – sawdust 90%, cardboard 10%; 3 – sawdust 70%, cardboard 30%; 4 – sawdust 90%, lignite B2 10%; and 5 – sawdust 70%, lignite B2 30%

Figure 2 Thermogravimetric (left column) and differential thermogravimetric (right column) curves of the studied fuel pellets at different heating rates (1 – 10 °C/min; 2 – 20; and 3 – 30 °C/min): (a) sawdust 70%, cardboard 30%; and (b) sawdust 70%, lignite B2 40%

Figure 3 Average activation energy (a) and the value of activation energy at different degrees of conversion (b) of the studied fuel pellets: 1 – sawdust 100%; 2 – sawdust 90%, cardboard 10%; 3 – sawdust 70%, cardboard 30%; 4 – sawdust 90%, lignite B2 10%; and 5 – sawdust 70%, lignite B2 30%

Table Captions

Table 1 Proximate and ultimate analyses of fuel components

Table 2 Composition of the raw materials used [21,22]

Table 3 Characteristics of thermal decomposition of the studied fuel pellets

Table 4 Average activation energies and preexponential factors of thermal oxidation of the studied fuel pellets

Acknowledgments

The study was carried out with financial support from the Russian Science Foundation (grant No. 23-79-10098).

References

1. Li, H., L. B. Jiang, C. Z. Li, J. Liang, X. Z. Yuan, Z. H. Xiao, Z. H. Xiao, and H. Wang. 2015. Co-pelletization of sewage sludge and biomass: The energy input and properties of pellets. *Fuel Process. Technol.* 132:55–61. doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2014.12.020.
2. Cui, X., J. Yang, Z. Wang, and X. Shi. 2021. Better use of bioenergy: A critical review of co-pelletizing for biofuel manufacturing. *Carbon Capture Sci. Technol.* 1:100005. doi: 10.1016/j.ccst.2021.100005.
3. Hossain, M. U., S. Y. Leu, and C. S. Poon. 2016. Sustainability analysis of pelletized bio-fuel derived from recycled wood product wastes in Hong Kong. *J. Clean. Prod.* 113:400–410. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.11.069.
4. Martínez-Guido, S. I., J. F. García-Trejo, C. Gutiérrez-Antonio, A. Domínguez-González, F. I. Gómez-Castro, and J. M. Ponce-Ortega. 2021. The Integration of pelletized agricultural residues into electricity grid: Perspectives from the human, environmental and economic aspects. *J. Clean. Prod.*, 321:128932. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128932.
5. Zhai, M., X. Li, D. Yang, Z. Ma, and P. Dong. 2022. Ash fusion characteristics of biomass pellets during combustion. *J. Clean. Prod.* 336:130361. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130361.

6. Ferronato, N., I.J. Calle Mendoza, J.G. Ruiz Mayta, M.A. Gorritty Portillo, F. Conti, and V. Torretta. 2022. Biomass and cardboard waste-based briquettes for heating and cooking: Thermal efficiency and emissions analysis. *J. Clean. Prod.* 375:134111. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134111.
7. Demirbaş, A., and A. Şahin. 1998. Evaluation of biomass residue: 1. Briquetting waste paper and wheat straw mixtures. *Fuel Process. Technol.* 55:175–183. doi: 10.1016/S0378-3820(98)00041-1.
8. Ghorbel, L., T. Rouissi, S.K. Brar, D. López-González, A.A. Ramirez, and S. Godbout. 2015. Value-added performance of processed cardboard and farm breeding compost by pyrolysis. *Waste Manage.* 38:164–173. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2015.01.009.
9. Kim, J.K., H.D. Lee, H.S. Kim, H.Y. Park, and S.C. Kim. 2014. Combustion possibility of low rank russian peat as a blended fuel of pulverized coal fired power plant. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 20:1752–1760. doi: 10.1016/j.jiec.2013.08.027.
10. Sadhukhan, A.K., P. Gupta, and R.K. Saha. 2009. Modelling of pyrolysis of large wood particles. *Bioresource Technol.* 100:3134–3139. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2009.01.007.
11. Meng, F., J. Yu, A. Tahmasebi, and Y. Han. 2013. Pyrolysis and combustion behavior of coal gangue in O₂/CO₂ and O₂/N₂ mixtures using thermogravimetric analysis and a drop tube furnace. *Energ. Fuel.* 27:2923–2932. doi: 10.1021/ef400411w/asset/images/ef-2013-00411w_m015.gif.
12. Zhang, Y., J. Li, F. Cheng, and Y. Guo. 2015. Study of the combustion behavior and kinetics of different types of coal gangue. *Combust. Expl. Shock Waves* 51(6):670–677. doi: 10.1134/S0010508215060088. EDN: WRUPOB.
13. Mishra, R.K., and K. Mohanty. 2018. Pyrolysis kinetics and thermal behavior of waste sawdust biomass using thermogravimetric analysis. *Bioresource Technol.* 251:63–74. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2017.12.029.
14. Hsuan, C.Y., and S.S. Hou. 2024. Co-combustion characteristics and kinetics of sludge/coal blends in 21%–50% oxygen-enriched O₂/CO₂ atmospheres. *Fuel* 362:130821. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2023.130821.
15. Muravyev, N.V., and S. Vyazovkin. 2022. The status of pyrolysis kinetics studies by thermal analysis: Quality is not as good as it should and can readily be. *Thermo* 2(4):435–452. doi: 10.3390/thermo2040029.
16. Muigai, H.H., B.J. Choudhury, P. Kalita, and V.S. Moholkar. 2020. Co-pyrolysis of biomass blends: Characterization, kinetic and thermodynamic analysis. *Biomass Bioenerg.* 143:105839. doi: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2020.105839.
17. Dwivedi, K.K., Prabhansu, M.K. Karmakar, and P.K. Chatterjee. 2020. Thermal degradation, characterization and kinetic modeling of different particle size coal through TGA. *Thermal Science Engineering Progress* 18(1):100523. 7 p. doi: 10.1016/j.tsep.2020.100523.
18. Khan, A., I. Ali, W. Farooq, S.R. Naqvi, M.T. Mehran, A. Shahid, R. Liaquat, M. Waqas Anjum, and M. Naqvi. 2022. Investigation of combustion performance of tannery sewage sludge using thermokinetic analysis and prediction by artificial neural network. *Case Studies Thermal Engineering* 40:102586. doi: 10.1016/j.csite.2022.102586.
19. Sbrolini Tiburcio, R., M. Malpeli Junior, J. Tófano de Campos Leite, F. Minoru Yamaji, and A.M. Pereira Neto. 2021. Physicochemical and thermophysical characterization of rejected waste and evaluation of their use as refuse-derived fuel. *Fuel* 293:120359. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2021.120359.
20. He, J., J. Li, Q. Huang, and J. Yan. 2022. Release characteristics of potassium and sodium during pellet combustion of typical MSW fractions using the FES method. *Combust. Flame* 244:112233. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2022.112233.
21. Iyer, K.A., A.M. Flores, and J.M. Torkelson. 2015. Comparison of polyolefin biocomposites prepared with waste cardboard, microcrystalline cellulose, and cellulose nanocrystals via solid-state shear pulverization. *Polymer* 75:78–87. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2015.08.029.
22. Dhyani, V., and T. Bhaskar. 2018. A comprehensive review on the pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. *Renew. Energ.* 129:695–716. doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.04.035.
23. Delgado, B., D. López González, S. Godbout, R. Lagacé, A. Giroir-Fendler, and A. Avalos Ramirez. 2017. A study of torrefied cardboard characterization and applications: Composition, oxidation kinetics and methane adsorption. *Sci. Total Environ.* 593-594:406–417. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.119.
24. Leyssens, G., G. Trouvé, I. Caplain, C. Schönnenbeck, and F. Cazier. 2014. Energetic performances and environmental impact of the combustion of cardboard/sawdust in a domestic boiler. *Fuel* 122:21–27. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2014.01.034.
25. Jayaraman, K., I. Gokalp, and S. Bostyn. 2015. High ash coal pyrolysis at different heating rates to analyze its char structure, kinetics and evolved species. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol.* 113:426–433. doi: 10.1016/j.jaap.2015.03.007.
26. Jiang, Y., P. Zong, X. Ming, H. Wei, X. Zhang, Y. Bao, B. Tian, Y. Tian, and Y. Qiao. 2021. High-temperature fast pyrolysis of coal: An applied basic research using thermal gravimetric analyzer and the downer reactor. *Energy* 223:119977. doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2021.119977.
27. Gil, M.V., D. Casal, C. Pevida, J.J. Pis, and F. Rubiera. 2010. Thermal behaviour and kinetics of coal/biomass blends during co-combustion. *Bioresource Technol.* 101:5601–5608. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.008.
28. Ma, P., J. Yang, X. Xing, S. Wehrich, F. Fan, and X. Zhang. 2017. Isoconversional kinetics and characteristics of combustion on hydrothermally treated biomass. *Renew. Energ.* 114:1069–1076. doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.07.115.

29. Wang, Q., W. Zhao, H. Liu, C. Jia, and H. Xu. 2012. Reactivity and kinetic analysis of biomass during combustion. *Energy Proced.* 17:869–875. doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2012.02.181.
30. Liu, L., Y. Pang, D. Lv, K. Wang, and Y. Wang. 2021. Thermal and kinetic analyzing of pyrolysis and combustion of self-heating biomass particles. *Process Saf. Environ.* 151:39–50. doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2021.05.011.

Received November 27, 2024

After revision May 12, 2025

Accepted May 12, 2025

Contributors

Dorokhov Vadim V. (b. 1997) — research engineer, School of Energy Engineering, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin Ave., Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation; vvd11@tpu.ru

Vershinina Kseniya Yu. (b. 1992) — Candidate of Science in physics and mathematics, associate professor, Research School of Physics of High-Energy Processes, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin Ave., Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation; vershininaks@tpu.ru

Vysokomornaya Olga V. (b. 1984) — associate professor, Research School of Physics of High-Energy Processes, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin Ave., Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation; vysokomornaja@tpu.ru

Nyashina Galina S. (b. 1992) — Candidate of Science in technology, associate professor, Research School of Physics of High-Energy Processes, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin Ave., Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation; gsn1@tpu.ru

Paushkina Kristina K. (b. 1998) — research engineer, Research School of Physics of High-Energy Processes, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin Ave., Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation; kkp1@tpu.ru

Shvedov Denis K. (b. 2001) — master's student, School of Energy Engineering, National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, 30 Lenin Ave., Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation; dks7@tpu.ru